

PEES 75-1317

29 MAY 1975

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Personnel

SUBJECT : Notification by Agency Employees
Concerning [REDACTED]

STATINTL

1. The Office of Security is currently reviewing the Headquarters Regulations [REDACTED] with the purpose of updating those regulations no longer appropriate to the times.

STATINTL

2. A corollary development of our study has been a consideration of those regulations that concern an Agency employee's responsibility for reporting [REDACTED] status. At present there is a regulatory procedure requiring employees to [REDACTED]

STATINTL

STATINTL

[REDACTED] but there is no counterpart regulation requiring notification to the Agency when the employee's [REDACTED] Such information could very well have impact on the Agency's decision making processes concerning the employee.

STATINTL

STATINTL

3. It is requested that the Office of Personnel review those Agency regulatory procedures concerning an employee's need to report on [REDACTED] From the review please determine how these procedures can be clarified to equip the Agency with the information it needs to make knowledgeable decisions concerning its employees.

STATINTL

[REDACTED]

STATINTL

Charles W. Kane
Director of Security

OS 5 2992

☐ UNCLASSIFIED☐ INTERNAL
USE ONLY☐ CONFIDENTIAL☐ SECRET

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

Notification by Agency Employees Concerning

STATINTL

FROM

Charles W. Kane
Director of Security

EXTENSION

x6777

NO.

DATE

29 MAY 1975

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S
INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1. 2 JUN 1975
D Director of Personnel

2 JUN 1975

2.
Director of Personnel

2

3.
D./Pers/PC

6/5 JRM

4.
C/Review StaffThis is a murky area and
certainly worth study.

RDK

4 Action please and preparation
of response to D/Security for
signature of D/Personnel.

Thank you

RDK

2-3

Mary -
I want to recall
that this was
explored a year
or so ago but
when a
proposed change
was drafted I
can't remember -
OK

OS 5 2992